THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 LISA HOOPER, BRANDIE OSBORNE, KAYLA WILLIS, REAVY WASHINGTON, No. 2:17-cv-00077-RSM 10 individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals; THE PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER AND 11 EPISCOPAL DIOCESE OF OLYMPIA; AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO TRINITY PARISH OF SEATTLE; REAL **DEFENDANT CITY OF SEATTLE'S** 12 CHANGE, COUNTERCLAIM TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT 13 Plaintiffs, 14 VS. 15 CITY OF SEATTLE, WASHINGTON: WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF 16 TRANSPORTATION; ROGER MILLAR, SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION FOR 17 WSDOT, in his official capacity, 18 Defendants. 19 20 Plaintiffs Lisa Hooper, Brandie Osborne, Kayla Willis, Reavy Washington, The Episcopal Diocese of Olympia, Trinity Parish of Seattle, and Real Change ("Plaintiffs") 21 22 hereby provide the following Answers and Affirmative Defenses to the Counterclaim to the Second Amended Complaint ("Counterclaim") filed by Defendant City of Seattle ("City", 23 24 "Defendant"): 25 PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO CORR CRONIN MICHELSON DEFENDANT CITY OF SEATTLE'S COUNTERCLAIM TO SECOND BAUMGARDNER FOGG & MOORE LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1 (2:17-cv-00077-RSM) Seattle, Washington 98154-1051

Tel (206) 625-8600 Fax (206) 625-0900

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM—DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 1. The allegations in paragraph 1 constitute legal conclusions and legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Plaintiffs deny the same.
- 2. Plaintiffs admit that the City of Seattle has been faced with substantially increasing numbers of unhoused individuals, many of whom reside on public property. Plaintiffs admit that the Mayor has declared a "state of emergency" with regard to homelessness and that the City has taken certain inadequate steps to address the issue of homelessness within the City. Plaintiffs otherwise deny the allegations in paragraph 2.
- 3. Plaintiffs admit that the City regularly conducts sweeps of unhoused individuals living outside within the City, including those on public property. Plaintiffs otherwise deny the allegations in paragraph 3.
- 4. Plaintiffs admit that the City claims to follow the MDARs when it conducts sweeps within the City. Plaintiffs admit a controversy exists regarding whether the MDARs as written and the City's practice in conducting sweeps comply with the constitutional requirements of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United State Constitution and Sections 3 and 7 of Article I of the Washington State Constitution. Plaintiffs otherwise deny the allegations in paragraph 4.
- 5. Plaintiffs admit that they oppose the City's ongoing sweeps insofar as they violate the rights enumerated under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and Sections 3 and 7 of Article I of the Washington State Constitution. The remainder of the allegations in paragraph 5 constitute legal conclusions and legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a responses is deemed required, Plaintiffs deny the same.

- 6. The allegations in paragraph 6 constitute legal conclusions and legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a responses is deemed required, Plaintiffs deny the same.
- 7. Plaintiffs admit that the revised MDARs contain some provisions regarding notice and retrieval of property. Plaintiffs deny that the revised MDARs are consistent with the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and Sections 3 and 7 of Article I of the Washington State Constitution.
- 8. To the extent paragraph 8 contains a statement of fact about future actions, Plaintiffs lack sufficient knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 8. Plaintiffs deny that the City has followed the MDARs in the past. Plaintiffs admit that the City has admitted that it has not followed the MDARs in the past. Plaintiffs otherwise deny the allegations in paragraph 8.
- 9. The allegations in paragraph 9 constitute legal conclusions and legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a responses is deemed required, Plaintiffs deny the same.
- 10. The allegations in paragraph 10 constitute legal conclusions and legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a responses is deemed required, Plaintiffs deny the same.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The remaining allegations in Defendant's Counterclaim constitute a request for relief to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Plaintiffs deny the same.

4 || ///

PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANT CITY OF SEATTLE'S COUNTERCLAIM TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 3 (2:17-cv-00077-RSM)

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Having fully answered Defendant's Counterclaim, Plaintiffs allege the following affirmative defenses:

- 1. Plaintiffs incorporate and re-allege all claims set forth in the Amended Complaint as affirmative defenses to Defendant's Counterclaim.
- 2. Defendant's claims are barred in whole or in part because the Counterclaim fails to allege facts sufficient to state a claim upon which relief can be granted against Plaintiffs.
- 3. Defendant's claims are barred under the doctrine of laches, waiver, unclean hands, and/or estoppel.
- 4. Plaintiffs hereby reserve and assert all affirmative defenses available under federal law and state law. Plaintiffs presently have insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to whether it may have other, as yet unstated, affirmative defenses available. Therefore, Plaintiffs reserve the right to assert additional affirmative defenses, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party actions as additional facts are obtained through future investigation and discovery.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Defendant's Counterclaim, Plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:

- 1. Dismissing Defendant's Counterclaim with prejudice;
- 2. Awarding Plaintiffs its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in defending against Defendant's Counterclaim; and
 - 3. Awarding Plaintiffs such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

1	DATED this 27th day of June, 2017.
2	CORR CRONIN MICHELSON
3	BAUMGARDNER FOGG & MOORE LLP
4	s/ Todd T. Williams
5	Blake Marks-Dias, WSBA No. 28169 Todd T. Williams, WSBA No. 45032
6	Eric A. Lindberg, WSBA No. 43596 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900
7	Seattle, Washington 98154-1051 Telephone: (206) 625-8600
8	Email: <u>bmarksdias@corrcronin.com</u>
9	twilliams@corrcronin.com elindberg@corrcronin.com
	Emily Chiang, WSBA No. 50517
10	Nancy Talner, WSBA No. 11196 Breanne Schuster, WSBA No. 49993
11	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF WASHINGTON FOUNDATION
12	901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 630
13	Seattle, Washington 98164 Telephone: (206) 624-2184
14	Email: <u>echiang@aclu-wa.org</u> <u>talner@aclu-wa.org</u>
15	bschuster@aclu-wa.org
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANT CITY OF SEATTLE'S COUNTERCLAIM TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 5 (2:17-cv-00077-RSM)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on June 27, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing with the 2 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to 3 4 the following: Attorneys for Defendant City of Seattle: Attorneys for Defendants Washington 5 State Department of Transportation and 6 Roger Millar, Secretary of Transportation Matthew J. Segal, WSBA No. 29797 Gregory J. Wong, WSBA No. 39329 for WSDOT: 7 Taki V. Flevaris, WSBA No. 42555 PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP Alicia O. Young, WSBA No. 35553 8 1191 2nd Avenue, Suite 2000 **Assistant Attorney General** Seattle, WA 98101 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF 9 matthew.segal@pacificalawgroup.com WASHINGTON 10 greg.wong@pacificalawgroup.com P.O. Box 40126 taki.flevaris@pacificalawgroup.com Olympia, WA 98504-0126 11 AliciaO@atg.wa.gov Patrick Downs, WSBA No. 25276 12 Andrew T. Myerberg, WSBA No. 47746 Matthew D. Huot, WSBA No. 40606 Gregory C. Narver, WSBA No. 18127 13 **Assistant Attorney General** Carlton W.M. Seu, WSBA No. 26830 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF 14 Gary T. Smith, WSBA No. 29718 WASHINGTON SEATTLE CITY ATTORNEY P.O. Box 40113 15 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Olympia, WA 98504-0113 Seattle, WA 98104-70197 MattH4@atg.wa.gov 16 patrick.downs@seattle.gov andrew.myerberg@seattle.gov 17 gregory.narver@seattle.gov 18 carlton.seu@seattle.gov gary.smith@seattle.gov 19 20 **CORR CRONIN MICHELSON** BAUMGARDNER FOGG & MOORE LLP 21 s/ Todd T. Williams 22 Todd T. Williams, WSBA No. 45032 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 23 Seattle, Washington 98154-1051 Telephone: (206) 625-8600 24 Email: twilliams@correronin.com 25

PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANT CITY OF SEATTLE'S COUNTERCLAIM TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 6 (2:17-cv-00077-RSM)

CORR CRONIN MICHELSON
BAUMGARDNER FOGG & MOORE LLP
1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900
Seattle, Washington 98154-1051
Tel (206) 625-8600
Fax (206) 625-0900