

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
FOR SKAGIT COUNTY

KEVAN COFFEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

PUBLIC HOSPITAL DISTRICT NO. 1,
SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON D/B/A
SKAGIT REGIONAL HEALTH, CLARK D.
TODD, in his official capacity, BALISA E.
KOETJE, in her official capacity, JAMES L.
HOBBS, SR., in his official capacity, PATTIE
K. LEWIS, in her official capacity, BRUCE G.
LISSER, in his official capacity, JEFFREY
JAMES MILLER, in his official capacity,
STANTON C.G. OLSON, in his official
capacity, and GREGG A. DAVIDSON, in his
official capacity,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-2-00217-4

JUDGMENT

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant Hospital District’s Motion for Summary Judgment on the Construction of RCW 9.02.150, filed February 26, 2016, Plaintiff’s Cross Motion for Summary Judgment on the Construction of RCW 9.02.100 and RCW 9.02.160, filed March 14, 2016, and the Court’s Decision and Order Granting Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment and Denying Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment (the “Order”),

1 dated June 20, 2016. The Court has reviewed and considered the motions and supporting papers,
2 responses and replies, and oral arguments presented by both parties.

3 As explained in detail in the Order, the Court finds and concludes that Defendant Public
4 Hospital District No. 1 fails to provide “substantially equivalent” termination services as
5 required by the Reproductive Privacy Act, RCW 9.02 et seq.

6 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as
7 follows:

- 8 1. Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment is granted in its entirety;
- 9 2. The Court declares that the Defendants have violated and are in violation of the
10 Reproductive Privacy Act RCW 9.02.100(4), RCW 9.02.110, and RCW 9.02.160;
- 11 3. Defendant Hospital District’s Motion for Summary Judgment is denied in its
12 entirety;
- 13 4. Defendant Hospital District’s counterclaim is dismissed with prejudice;
- 14 5. This Court retains jurisdiction over the enforcement of this judgment and
15 Defendants’ compliance with RCW 9.02.100(4), RCW 9.02.110, and RCW 9.02.160;
- 16 6. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay, and directs the entry of this
17 Judgment as a final judgment pursuant to Civil Rule 54(c);
- 18 7. Plaintiff may file for costs as defined in RCW 4.84.010 as the prevailing party to
19 this lawsuit.

20 DATED this ____ day of July, 2016.

JUDGE RAQUEL MONTOYA-LEWIS

Presented by:

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION OF WASHINGTON
FOUNDATION

By:

La Rond Baker, WSBA # 43610
Leah Rutman, WSBA # 50376
Margaret Chen, WSBA # 46156
901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 630
Seattle, WA 98164
Tel: (206) 624-2184
Fax: (206) 624-2190

WHITE & CASE LLP

Aalok Sharma*
Lauren C. Fujiu-Berger*
Amara Levy-Moore*
Marvin E. Bonilla*
555 South Flower Street, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, California 90071-2433
Tel: (213) 620-7700
Fax: (213) 452-2329

Kimberly A. Haviv*
Alice Tsier*
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-2787
Tel: (212) 819-8683
Fax: (212) 354-8113

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION

Brigitte Amiri*
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, New York 10004
Tel: (212) 549-2633

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF
WASHINGTON FOUNDATION
901 FIFTH AVENUE, STE 630
SEATTLE, WA 98164
(206) 624-2184

1 Fax: (212) 549-2651

2 STRITTMATTER KESSLER
3 WHELAN

4 Karen Koehler
5 200 Second Avenue West
6 Seattle, WA 98119
7 Tel: (206) 558-1777
8 Fax: (206)728-2131

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26