

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

JULIANNE PANAGACOS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOHN J. TOWERY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 10-5018 RBL


ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the court on the following motions: Defendants' Motion for Order of Contempt [Dkt. #19] and Defendants' Motion for an extension of time for Defendants to file a responsive pleading. [Dkt. #27].

Defendants argue that the Plaintiffs were ordered to, and failed, to timely file an Amended Complaint in Response to the Court's Order [Dkt. #16] on Defendants' Motion for a More Definite Statement [Dkt. #10]. Plaintiffs acknowledge the failure, attributing it to erroneous note taking, and emphasizes that they intend to file a second amended complaint in any event. Defendants acknowledge this in seeking additional time to file an Answer or other responsive pleading.

1 The Motion for Contempt is DENIED. The Plaintiffs are ORDERED to file an amended complaint  
2 addressing the court's prior order, and encompassing the new claims outlined in their Response, by August  
3 9, 2010. Defendants will file their Answer or another responsive pleading consistent with the Federal Rules  
4 after that filing. As so modified, the defendants' Motion for an extension of time is GRANTED.  
5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated this 20<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2010.

8  
9  
10 

11 RONALD B. LEIGHTON  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28