1		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	AISEAIILE	
10	ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al.,	
11	Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. C17-94 RAJ
12		ORDER
13	V.	
14	DONALD TRUMP, President of the United States, et al.,	
15 16	Defendants.	
17		
18	This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' emergency motion for stay	
19	pending appellate review. Dkt. # 156. Plaintiffs oppose the motion. Dkt. # 157. The	
20	Court understands this motion to be one for reconsideration of its April 11, 2018 Order	
21	denying in part Defendants' motion for a protective order. Dkt. # 148; see also Dkt. #	
22	156 at 8. On May 9, 2018, the Court held a telephonic hearing on the matter. For the	
22	reasons that follow, the Court GRANTS Defendants' motion for reconsideration. Dkt. #	
23 24	156.	
- '		

"Motions for reconsideration are disfavored." LCR 7(h)(1). "The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior

25 26 27

Case 2:17-cv-00094-RAJ Document 183 Filed 05/10/18 Page 2 of 3

ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to
 its attention earlier with reasonable diligence." *Id.*

On April 24, 2018, the Court gave Defendants the opportunity to file a sampling of
case-by-case determinations regarding individual national security threats as they appear
on the class list. Dkt. # 162. Defendants filed the sampling *ex parte* and the Court
reviewed *in camera*. Though the Court finds this to be a close call—Defendants could
have attempted this strategy earlier to present such information—the Court nonetheless
finds that Defendants' motion for reconsideration has merit.

9 The Court reconsiders the portion of its prior Order, Dkt. # 148, that required
10 Defendants to produce case-by-case determinations to Plaintiffs' attorneys under an
11 attorney eyes only provision. In reconsidering, the Court once more reviews the
12 underlying motion for protective order. Dkt. # 126. The Court finds that it is appropriate
13 to find in favor of Defendants. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Defendants' motion for
14 a limited protective order to produce the class list under an attorney eyes only provision.
15 Dkt. # 126.

The Court therefore **ORDERS** the parties to abide by the following limited
protective order:

18 Disclosure of, and access to, the names, Alien numbers ("A numbers"), and
19 application filing dates of the unnamed plaintiff members of the Naturalization Class and
20 Adjustment-of-Status Class shall be limited to the following:

(1) Plaintiffs' attorneys of record, during such time as they continue to represent Plaintiffs;

(2) Experts retained by Plaintiffs to the extent reasonably necessary to prepare expert
 reports and testimony; and

25 (3) The Court and court personnel.

Plaintiffs' attorneys of record shall maintain the above-described information in a
secure manner, i.e. in a locked filing cabinet (for any paper copy) or in a password-

21

22

Case 2:17-cv-00094-RAJ Document 183 Filed 05/10/18 Page 3 of 3

protected electronic file to which only authorized persons have access, and shall not
 transmit that information over any electronic mail or cloud-based sharing unless the
 method of transmission employs point-to-point encryption or other similar encrypted
 transmission.

Plaintiffs' counsel, and any person acting on their behalf, are prohibited from
either disclosing to any individual who contacts them whether that individual is an
unnamed member of either the Naturalization Class or Adjustment-of-Status class, or
contacting the unnamed plaintiff members of the Naturalization Class and Adjustment-ofStatus class for any purpose absent prior order of this Court.

Plaintiffs' counsel must strictly abide by this limited protective order.

Defendants agree to meet and confer with Plaintiffs' counsel over ways in which
 Defendants might be able to provide Plaintiffs' counsel with information about particular
 unnamed class members to develop evidence for use in their case. Defendants agree to
 do so while keeping in mind their obligation to protect against dangers to important
 governmental interests.

Dated this 10th day of May, 2018.

Richard A Jones

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge